

Message

From: McDonnell, Lee [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=30676C71A2864DE09D8CE988C48E0F0E-MCDONNELL,]
Sent: 4/17/2020 12:29:04 PM
To: Power, Lucinda [power.lucinda@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: RESEND - FW: Next Week's Bay Manager Call Agenda

Thanks for the explanation. I just want to be consistent with what we tell people. If the word programs doesn't appear anywhere, we shouldn't use it. Mainly I want to make sure I'm saying the right words when we talk to the jurisdictions 😊.

Lee A. McDonnell, P.E. , Chief
Science, Analysis, and Implementation Branch
EPA Chesapeake Bay Program Office
(w) 410-267-5731

From: Power, Lucinda <power.lucinda@epa.gov>
Sent: Friday, April 17, 2020 8:26 AM
To: McDonnell, Lee <McDonnell.Lee@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: RESEND - FW: Next Week's Bay Manager Call Agenda

Lee:

Programs have been used, but the most common are practices and controls. There was a lot of debate leading up to the Phase III WIP evals about this. The 2025 WIP Outcome in the Watershed Agreement uses "have all practices and controls in place". The TMDL uses them interchangeably as well. I can dig into some files about the discussions we had in the past about this, but I would use either controls or practices.

Thanks,
Lucinda

Sent from my iPhone
(443) 863-0657

On Apr 17, 2020, at 4:30 AM, McDonnell, Lee <McDonnell.Lee@epa.gov> wrote:

Lucinda,

Thanks for passing along those reviews and commenting on the other documents. I have a question on the transmittal letter. The last sentence of the first paragraph:

The Chesapeake Bay Program partnership committed to have all practices in place by 2025 to achieve the applicable dissolved oxygen, submerged aquatic vegetation, and chlorophyll-a water quality standards in the Chesapeake Bay.

I keep hearing the phrase "programs and practices" in place by 2025. Is that correct?

Thanks Lee

Lee A. McDonnell, P.E. , Chief
Science, Analysis, and Implementation Branch
EPA Chesapeake Bay Program Office
(w) 410-267-5731

From: Power, Lucinda <power.lucinda@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2020 10:46 PM
To: McNally, Dianne <mcnally.dianne@epa.gov>; Trevena, Suzanne <Trevena.suzanne@epa.gov>; Barranco, Gregory <barranco.greg@epa.gov>; Allen, Greg <allen.greg@epa.gov>; Dere, Christopher <Dere.Christopher@epa.gov>; Damm, Thomas <Damm.Thomas@epa.gov>
Cc: MacKnight, Evelyn <MacKnight.Evelyn@epa.gov>; Day, Christopher <Day.Christopher@epa.gov>; Gable, Kelly <Gable.Kelly@epa.gov>; Bisland, Carin <bisland.carin@epa.gov>; McDonnell, Lee <McDonnell.Lee@epa.gov>; Delgrosso, Karen <Delgrosso.Karen@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: RESEND - FW: Next Week's Bay Manager Call Agenda

Dianne,

Attached are the final draft evaluations for MD and WV. These have been reviewed by Lee and Dana, respectively. I have not yet had the opportunity to post these to Sharepoint but wanted to be sure you had the latest versions that will be sent to the front office. Also attached are comments on the transmittal email and the draft agenda for the EPA-state calls.

Thanks,
Lucinda

Lucinda Power
Implementation & Evaluation Team Leader
Science, Analysis & Implementation Branch
Chesapeake Bay Program Office
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Teleworking until further notice:
(cell): (443) 863-0657
(email): power.lucinda@epa.gov

From: McNally, Dianne <mcnally.dianne@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2020 3:47 PM
To: Trevena, Suzanne <Trevena.suzanne@epa.gov>; Power, Lucinda <power.lucinda@epa.gov>; Barranco, Gregory <barranco.greg@epa.gov>; Allen, Greg <allen.greg@epa.gov>; Dere, Christopher <Dere.Christopher@epa.gov>; Damm, Thomas <Damm.Thomas@epa.gov>
Cc: MacKnight, Evelyn <MacKnight.Evelyn@epa.gov>; Day, Christopher <Day.Christopher@epa.gov>; Gable, Kelly <Gable.Kelly@epa.gov>; Bisland, Carin <bisland.carin@epa.gov>; McDonnell, Lee <McDonnell.Lee@epa.gov>; Delgrosso, Karen <Delgrosso.Karen@epa.gov>
Subject: RESEND - FW: Next Week's Bay Manager Call Agenda

Corrected agenda below. Please previous email request with this one.
Thanks.
Dianne

From: McNally, Dianne
Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2020 3:38 PM
To: Cc: **Subject:** Next Week's Bay Manager Call Agenda

Hi, all. Does this work for next week's call? Is there anything else we need to discuss? Suzanne, Greg A. and Lucinda, if you have attachments for your agenda items, please provide them by Monday afternoon or no later than Tuesday by 9am. Please let me know if your evaluations have been reviewed/approved by your senior managers and whether the latest versions are on Sharepoint. I realize that we may need to add an executive summary to all of them based on the outcome of Tuesday's call, so please start thinking about the items you would include so that we can add them quickly.

Tom, you had suggested a simple desk statement for the release of these draft evaluations to the states. Can you provide one for our call either next week or the week after?

I just put together some draft language for the transmittal email to the states, as well as a quick agenda for the state meetings—let me know if you have any suggestions for these attachments.

If possible, I would try to get the meeting with your states on the calendar for the first week in May. If you are available to report out on Tuesday on the scheduling of these calls, great but no worries if you can work it out by then.

Also, are there any other items for future calls? Copying Lee and Carin in case there are partnership or science items that need to be discussed with the managers.

Thanks.
Dianne 4-3297

I. **Agenda**

A. **Milestone Evaluation – Executive Summary Language (Pennsylvania Example)** – S. Trevena

Attached:

B. **Next steps for releasing draft milestone evaluations to the states by 4/30** – D. McNally

1. Transmittal memo (**Attached:** *transmittal_email_draft_evaluations.docx*)
2. Setting up calls with states – First week of May
3. Call agenda (**Attached:** *EPA_state_meeting_agenda_draft_milestone_evaluation.docx*)
4. Desk Statement for draft milestone evaluation release to states/FOD

C. **Federal Agency/Facility Milestone Evaluation** – G. Allen/J. Edward

Attached:

D. **WIP Oversight and Assistance Plan** – L. Power

Attached:

II. **Planning Calendar**

A. **April 28**

1. Release of Milestone Evaluations to states
2. Calls with states

B. **May 5 -TBD**

- C. **May 12**
 - 1. Report out from milestone evaluation calls with states
- III. **Decisions from 4/7/20 Bay Manager Call**
 - A. **Options for monitoring trends in milestone evaluations**
 - 1. General statement acceptable and Emily will develop state-specific bullets on the monitoring trends analysis to incorporate into the evaluations.
 - 2. Monitoring section will be at end of milestone evaluation document to distinguish from the modeling information in the Load Reduction Review section
 - B. **Proposed Federal Actions and Assistance language for milestone evaluation**
 - 1. Draft language is acceptable and will be incorporated into evaluations.
 - C. **Conowingo WIP**
 - 1. Draft WIP to PSC for review in late May; PSC will select scenarios for final WIP; there will be a 30-day public comment period; unclear if EPA's review will take place concurrently with that public comment period or after that time.
 - 2. Most of the work will likely be geared for Maryland and Pennsylvania which include the "most effective basins". Lucinda will loop Suzanne into the evaluation to ensure consistency with PA's WIP implementation.
 - 3. Depending on the scenarios, review may be needed by K. Shenk, M. Zolandz and B. Smith (ag sector leads).
 - D. **Other items:**

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

- IV. **Potential Topics for Future Calls/Meetings**
 - A. Watershed Resource Registry
 - B. Water Quality Standard indicator and Attainment Deficit metric
 - C. **Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**
 - D. Trading Policy Updates
 - E. Stream Restoration
 - 1. BMP crediting
 - 2. Ecological uplift/ local water quality impacts
 - F. Unregulated stormwater – Adjusting the baseline (issues in PA, VA)

Dianne McNally
 Region 3, Water Division -3WD00, 13/129
 215-814-3297
mcnally.dianne@epa.gov